## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case: 2:24-cr-20099

Assigned To: Grey, Jonathan J.C. Referral Judge: Altman, Kimberly G. Assign. Date: 2/28/2024 3:25 PM

Description: INDI USA V. SEALED (DA)

v.

VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

MICHAEL SHAPIRO,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

#### INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

### **COUNT ONE**

18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

Transmitting Threats in Interstate Commerce

On or about December 8, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant, MICHAEL SHAPIRO, knowingly transmitted in interstate commerce a communication containing a threat to injure the person of another, for the purpose of issuing a threat and with knowledge that the communication would be viewed as a threat, and in conscious disregard of a substantial risk that the communication would be understood as a true threat. Specifically, MICHAEL SHAPIRO, while located in the State of Florida, placed a call from his cellular phone to the office of the Council on American-Islamic Relations ("CAIR") Michigan Chapter located in the State of Michigan, and left a

voicemail in which he stated, "I'm going to kill you bastards. I'm going to kill you bastards," in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c).

#### **COUNT TWO**

18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

Transmitting Threats in Interstate Commerce

On or about December 14, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant, MICHAEL SHAPIRO, knowingly transmitted in interstate commerce a communication containing a threat to injure the person of another, for the purpose of issuing a threat and with knowledge that the communication would be viewed as a threat, and in conscious disregard of a substantial risk that the communication would be understood as a true threat. Specifically, MICHAEL SHAPIRO, while located in the State of Florida, placed a call from his cellular phone to the office of the Council on American-Islamic Relations ("CAIR") Michigan Chapter located in the State of Michigan, and left a voicemail in which he stated, "I'm going to kill you mother fucking bastards. Muslims! I'm going to kill you mother fuckers. I'm going to kill you! I'm going to kill you! I'm going to kill you!," in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c).

#### **COUNT THREE**

18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

Transmitting Threats in Interstate Commerce

On or about December 15, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere, the defendant, MICHAEL SHAPIRO, knowingly transmitted in interstate commerce a communication containing a threat to injure the person of another, for the purpose of issuing a threat and with knowledge that the communication would be viewed as a threat, and in conscious disregard of a substantial risk that the communication would be understood as a true threat. Specifically, MICHAEL SHAPIRO, while located in the State of Florida, placed a call from his cellular phone to the office of the Council on American-Islamic Relations ("CAIR") Michigan Chapter located in the State of Michigan, and left a voicemail in which he stated, "You're a violent people. Why do you come to America? Why do you come to Europe? Mother fuckers. You're violent. You're killers. You're rapists. I'm going to kill you mother fuckers!," in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c).

# **Special Finding—Hate Crime Motivation**

The Grand Jury further alleges that defendant MICHAEL SHAPIRO intentionally selected the victim of his threat in Counts One, Two, and Three because of the actual and perceived religion and national origin of the people who work at and are assisted by the Council on American-Islamic Relations ("CAIR") Michigan Chapter, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 875(c); U.S.S.G. § 3A1.1.

A TRUE BILL.

s/ Grand Jury Foreperson
GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

DAWN N. ISON United States Attorney

s/ John K. Neal

JOHN K. NEAL Chief, Public Corruption and Civil Rights Unit Assistant U.S. Attorney

s/ France Lee Carlson
FRANCES LEE CARLSON
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: February 28, 2024

|  |  | Cas  | se: 2:24-cr-20099   |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| United States District Court<br>Eastern District of Michigan             | Criminal Case Co   | over: Assi<br>Refe   | igned To : Grey, Jonathan J.C.<br>erral Judge: Altman, Kimberly G.<br>ign. Date : 2/28/2024 3:25 PM |  |
| NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S.                     | Attorney signing this form to co   | omplete i Des  | cription: INDI USA V. SEALED (DA)   |  |
| Companion Case Information   |  | Companion Case Number:   |   |  |
| This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) <sup>1</sup> : |  | Judge Assigned:  |   |  |
| ☐ Yes ⊠ No   |  | AUSA's Initials: FLC   |   |  |
| Case Title: USA v. Michael   | Shapiro  |  |   |  |
| County where offense occu  | urred: Wayne County  | '  |   |  |
| Check One: ⊠Felony   | □Mis   | demeanor   | □Petty  |  |
| Indictment/Info  | ·  | prior complaint  | [Case number: ] complete Superseding section below].  |  |
| Superseding to Case No:  |  | Judge:   |   |  |
| Involves, for plea purpos  | tional charges or defenda<br>ses, different charges or a<br>matter but adds the addi | adds counts.   | nts or charges below:   |  |
| <u>Defendant name</u>  | Cha  | <u>irges</u>   | Prior Complaint (if applicable)   |  |
| Please take notice that the below  | listed Assistant Unit  | ed States Att  | corney is the attorney of record for  |  |
| he above captioned case.   |  |  |   |  |
| February 28, 2024 #\$1   |  | 1  |   |  |
| Date   | Assistant U<br>211 W. Fo   | Frances Lee Carlson Assistant United States Attorney 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001 Detroit, MI 48226-3277 |   |  |
|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | Phone: (313) 226-9696  |   |  |
| F-Mail address: Frances Carlson@usdoi.gov                                |  |  | es Carlson@usdoi.gov  |  |

Attorney Bar #: P62624

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.